Buried Alive: Assessing Soil Seed Bank Persistence to Assist in Invasive Species Eradication

Oahu Army Training Areas

ABSTRACT

Understanding the seed biology of invasive plant species can assist managers in achieving eradication, particularly as it applies to scheduling treatment intervals (in conjunction with plant phenology) and monitoring for recruitment following removal of all target plants. Knowledge of seed bank potential, or how long seeds remain viable when in the ground, is critical to defining eradication for a taxon. Over the last ten years, the O'ahu Army Natural Resources Program (OANRP) collected mature fruits from nine naturalized or incipient invasive species to classify their soil seed bank type. Seeds from each of the species were kept in dark, wet conditions in the laboratory and/or buried in durable bags six inches below ground in the field. Bags and seeds were retrieved and sown at regular intervals to assess viability. As a result, taxa were classified as having transient, short-term persistent, or long-term persistent soil seed banks. This information will assist in developing control strategies and determining eradicability for these taxon, on a species and site level.

BACKGROUND

OANRP mitigates for threats that impact endangered species found in and around Army training areas (Fig. 1). This includes removal of both naturalized and incipient invasive plant species. While habitat restoration is the goal of most weed control efforts, select incipient invasive taxa are targeted for eradication. Determining the persistence of the soil seed of target weeds guides both

habitat restoration and eradication efforts, and is critical to identifying if/when eradication of a specific infestation can be achieved. Species persist in the soil seed bank for varying amounts of time (Table 1).

 Table 1. Soil Seed Bank Potential Definitions
 Soil Seed Bank Type Transient Persistent, Short Term Persistent, Long Term

METHODS

Seeds were opportunistically collected during weed removal activities in OANRP management areas.

Initial Viability Assay: Temperature, water, and light are important external factors affecting seed germination. Imbibition (uptake of water) of seeds is necessary for germination, while the presence of light can be a trigger for certain species. For this study, we considered a seed to have germinated when a radicle (root) and cotyledons formed. A subsample of each collection was sown in Petri dishes of 1% water agar in Percival[©] seed germination chambers (Fig. 2, exposed to light and moisture; average daily and nightly temperatures to mimic conditions at 2000' elev., northern Wai'anae Mountains).



Fig. 3. (left to right) Collecting C. odorata seeds in the field. Installing S. condensatum buried seed trial. The C. setaceus buried seed trial; located in the taxon's preferred habitat

Field Trials: Seeds were sealed in polyester fabric bags and buried 6 inches below the soil surface near existing populations (Fig. 3). Buried bags were retrieved at regular intervals.

- **Dark, Buried:** Seeds that had germinated in the buried bags were counted.
- Light, After Buried: Intact, non-germinated seeds were sown on agar and put in the growth chambers, exposed to light, and all germinating seeds were counted (similar methods as Initial Viability Assay).

Lab Trials: Seeds were sown on agar in Petri dishes, wrapped in one layer of plastic wrap, followed by two layers of aluminum foil to keep light out. Seeds had enough moisture to remain imbibed (absorbed necessary amount of water to allow for germination) throughout dark treatment. Dishes were placed in germination chambers and retrieved at regular intervals.

- **Dark, Imbibed**: Seeds that had germinated in the dark were counted.
- Light, After Imbibed: Petri dishes were unwrapped and intact, non-germinated seeds were sown on agar and kept in the growth chambers, exposed to light, and all germinating seeds were counted.

Results from these germination trials (Fig. 5) were interpreted to classify type of soil seed bank. Species with seeds that germinate in the absence of light (Dark, Imbibed treatment (Lab Trial)) were classified as transient or not likely to form persistent seed banks. Species with seeds where viability declined (or was projected to decline) to ~0% by approx. 5 years (or projected) when exposed to light upon removing from buried bag or dark/imbibed treatment were classified as persistent, short-term. Seeds with little decline in viability after 5 years were 150409001-1 etua Rd EHRETI

classified as persistent, long-term (Table 2).

Fig.4. Seeds of *E. stipoides* that germinated during the Dark/Imbibed Lab treatment.



References:

Hawaii-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment. 2015. www.hpwra.org

• Walck et al. 2005. Defining transient and persistent seed banks in species with pronounced seasonal dormancy and germination patterns. Seed Science Research **15**: 189-196



Fig.1. O´ahu Army Training Areas

Fig. 2. Seeds of E. stipioides germinating in an initial viability assay





Fig. 5. Seed Viability Graphs & Tables. Graphs a-c, e-h indicate Field Trial, Lab Trial, or both (see methods for definitions). For graphs a, b, and e-h navy blue lines indicate germination that took place while seeds were in the dark, imbibed treatment (Lab Trial); turquoise lines (not in b) indicate germination of those seeds upon exposure to light (unwrapped). For graphs b, c, and f, red lines indicate germination that took place while seeds were buried (Field Trial), and pink lines indicate germination of those seeds upon exposure to light (unburied). Each navy blue or red point on a graph represents the germination in the dark at one interval (either 2 bags of seeds or 1 dish) that was exposed to light (corresponding turquoise or pink point at same time (x-axis) interval).

Table 2. Species Summaries for Soil Seed Bank Persistence. The Hawaii-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment evaluates the potential invasiveness of non-native plant species. Scores above 6 indicate high risk for invasiveness. C. crocosmiiflora has not been evaluated, but is a recognized invasive species in Hawai'i. Species with seeds that germinate without light and upon imbibition do so when they have absorbed enough water for germination. Other species have seeds that can remain in dark/imbibed treatment for years before germinating. If more than one collection per species, initial viability is an average.

Species	Family	HPWRA Risk	Habit	Year Test Began	Field Trial	Lab Trial	Initial Viability	Germinates Without Light?	Soil Seed Bank Type
Arthrostemma ciliatum	Melastomataceae	High (7)	herb	2007-ongoing		x	65%	no	Persistent, Long Term
Cenchrus setaceus	Poaceae	High (26)	grass	2012-2013	х	x	92%	yes (upon imbibition)	Transient
Chromolaena odorata	Asteraceae	High (28)	herb	2011-ongoing	x		73%	no	Persistent, Short Term
Crocosmia x crocosmiiflora	Iridaceae	-	herb	2008-2010	x		60%	yes (upon imbibition)	Transient
Ehrharta stipoides	Poaceae	High (19)	grass	2015-ongoing		х	100%	yes (upon imbibition)	Transient
Juncus effusus	Juncaceae	High (21)	rush	2007-2015	x	х	72%	no	Persistent, Long Term
Lantana camara	Verbenaceae	High (32)	shrub	2005-2012		x	48%	yes (after 5 years)	Persistent, Short Term
Rubus rosifolius	Rosaceae	High (10)	herb	2005-2011		x	46%	yes (after 2 years)	Persistent, Short Term
Schizachyrium condensatum	Poaceae	High (13)	grass	2013-ongoing	х		37%	no	Persistent (ongoing)

MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

- seed bank.
- eradication.
- realistic tolerance levels for select weeds in work sites.

• Seed dormancy can complicate the assessment of soil seed bank persistence and needs to be identified and considered in determining soil persistence. Additional, extended trials are necessary for replication to verify seed bank classification and to continue testing species with suspected long-term persistent soil seed banks. Assuming no ingress of seeds or other propagules, isolated infestations of species with transient seed banks (C. setaceus, C. crocosmiiflora, and E. stipoides) have a good prognosis for eradication. Such infestations should be monitored at least 1.5 years following the removal of the last mature plant. Given that plant detection rates vary widely based on terrain, vegetation, staff, detectability of small size classes, etc., it is prudent to assume that some plants will escape detection for one or more control trips. Conservative managers may therefore choose to define eradication as no plants found for at least two times the duration of the soil

Species which form persistent, short term seed banks pose a greater challenge for eradication than those which form transient seed banks, and may require a decade of monitoring following eradication of the last known individual plant. Species which form persistent, long term seedbanks will require decades of consistent effort to achieve

• If habitat restoration, rather than eradication, is the goal, seed bank persistence is one factor to consider when determining time between weed control trips and setting

ABSTRACT

Chromolaena odorata, and steraceae commonly known as Devil Weed or Siam West and South Africa, and parts of Australia. The species has been referred to as one of the 100 worst weeds in the world (IUCN). Chromolaena was first reported in Hawaii by Oahu Army Natural Resources Program (OANRP) staff in 2011 when it was spotted on an annual road survey in the Army's Kahuku Training Area. Since detection, OANRP has repeatedly swept over 370 hectares across the Kahuku infestation, and spent nearly 2.000 person hours in this effort. Delimiting surveys were completed in Kahuku in 2013, and few populations of Chromolaena have since been detected on Oahu at Aiea, Kahana, and two additional Army training ranges. OANRP current control strategy is to: 1.) survey and control across the defined infestation area every six months to a year; 2.) control locations with high densities of plants (hotspots) before the annual aerial sprays of the core infestation (approximately 4 ha) before reproductive season; 4.) survey an 800 meter buffer around the infestation area and outlier populations, documenting and staff for control efforts, areas across the entire island of Oahu, securing funding and staff for control efforts, improving spray equipment, broadening public outreach efforts in high-use areas where Chromolaena on Army lands, and supports eradication island-wide.

2. Chromolaean odorata: A Highly Invasive Weed . manoa.hawaii.edu/hpicesu/DPW/chrodo flier.pdf

Assessing the most effective weed control re-treatment interval for Clidemia hirta-dominated areas at Opaeula Lower Management Unit, Oahu

INTRODUCTION

The goal of this study was to guide Oahu Army Natural Resources Program (OANRP) weed control planning for *Clidemia hirta* at Opaeula Lower Management Unit (MU), where dense understory cover of this weed occurs (Fig. 1). This species is targeted due to its ecosystem altering characteristics and tendency to create thick monotypic stands. Several questions are addressed pertaining to the effect of weeding *C. hirta*-dominated areas. To what extent does *C. hirta* and other weed taxa rebound if an area is not re-weeded for 6, 12 or 18 months? In the course of weeding a small degree of understory native vegetation trampling occurs. Does re-weeding at 6 months cause further damage to native vegetation? How does species diversity change in response to weeding at different intervals? How long does it take for <10 cm tall *C. hirta* plants (typically not treated during weeding) to become reproductive? Does canopy cover change in response to understory weeding within 18 months?

METHODOLOGY

Field Methods: Plots (5 x 21 m) were monitored in May 2013 (month 0) and November 2014 (month 18) among 4 weeding treatments:

Plot 1: control plot – not weeded Plot 2: weeded at 0 & 6 months

Plot 3: weeded at 0 & 12 months Plot 4: weeded at 0 months

Understory percent cover (using point intercept, n = 80 points), species richness (in 1 m² quadrats, n = 20), and canopy openness (using hemispheric photographs, n = 20) were monitored. To assess *C. hirta* maturation time, 50 individuals < 10cm tall were tagged within a 5 x 5 m plot, and monitored every 6 months from May 2013 to November 2014. Weeding included all non-grass mature and immature plants and most seedlings.

Data Analysis: Analysis included chi-square and Fisher's exact tests for change in understory cover within plots over time, and differences between plots at the end of the trial; t-tests for species richness change over time; and ANOVA with Tukey's post-hoc comparisons for differences in species richness between plots at the end of the trial, and for canopy openness in hemispheric photographs derived using Gap Light Analyzer (GLA), Version 2.0 software (Frazer et al. 1999). Analysis of change in non-grass weeds and non-vegetated area was based on initial weed cover in Plot 1, as Plots 2, 3, and 4 were weeded prior to baseline monitoring. Anecdotal observations determined that weed cover was similar among all 4 plots at the start of the trial.

RESULTS

Non-native understory percent cover: There was a significant decrease in *C. hirta* (p < 0.001) and total weed cover (p < 0.001), but a significant increase in total weed cover excluding *C. hirta* (p < 0.001), among all weeded plots (Fig. 2 and 3). The most commonly occurring grass, *Paspalum conjugatum*, also increased significantly from very low (Plots 2 and 4) and low (Plot 3) to moderately low cover in all weeded plots. At the end of the trial, C. *hirta* cover differed significantly among all plots, ranging from very low to high in relation to the time elapsed since the last weeding effort (6, 12, and 18 months prior for Plots 3, 2, and 4, respectively, and Plot 1 never weeded). Total weed cover differed among plots (p < 0.001) except for Plots 2 and 3, ranging from moderate to very high, also in relation to time since weeding last occurred. Total weed cover excluding *C. hirta* differed among plots (p < 0.001) with the exception of Plots 3 and 4, ranging from moderately low (Plot 1) to moderate (Plot 2) to moderately high/moderate (Plots 3 and 4).

Native understory percent cover: There was a significant increase in native cover (from low to moderate) for Plots 2 and 3 (p < 0.001). Though initially absent, by the end of the trial, *Acacia koa* was present in all plots at very low cover, representing a small significant increase in Plots 2 and 4 (p = 0.024). *Cibotium chamissoi* had a small significant increase in the control plot (p = 0.044), and a larger increase in Plots 2 and 3 (p < 0.001). Nephrolepis exaltata subsp. hawaiiensis had a significant increase (from very low to low cover) in Plot 2.

Non-vegetated percent cover: There was a very small significant increase in non-vegetated area in Plot 2 (p = 0.022) from very low to low percent cover.

Species richness: Non-native species richness increased significantly in Plots 3 (p < 0.001) and 4 (p = 0.001) (Fig. 4). At the end of the trial, there were significant differences in non-native species richness between plots (p = 0.001), with pairwise differences between Plot 1 and Plots 3 and 4 (Plot 1 vs. 3: p = 0.001; Plot 1 vs. 4: p = 0.049). There was a marginally significant increase in native richness in Plot 3 (p = 0.057).

Michelle Akamine, Jane Beachy, Lalasia Bialic-Murphy, and Michelle Higashi Oahu Army Natural Resources Program, Schofield Barracks, Oahu

Figure 3. Photopoints of plots at the beginning and end of the trial, with images taken from the north, east, south and west corners (shown counterclockwise from top right image) of each plot.

After

Figure 2. Percent cover of non-native and native understory vegetation at the beginning (before) and end (after) of the trial. *Significant, **marginally significant, change within plots. Letters denote significant difference between plots at the end of the trial.

AFTER

Maturation time: Among the tagged *C. hirta*, one individual was mature by 12 months, and 43% of the remaining live plants were mature by 18 months. Many of the immature plants remained small, and were beneath dense *C. chamossoi* cover. A treefall occurred between months 12 and 18, and created a light gap that may have prompted *C. hirta* growth and maturation. At the end of the trial, all plots had mature *C. hirta*, including Plot 3, which was weeded only 6 months earlier.

Canopy openness: There was no significant change in canopy openness among the weeded plots, yet, there were significant differences among plots at the end of the trial (p < 0.001) (Fig. 5). Plot 2 was more open than all other plots, while Plot 4 was the least open.

> Figure 5. Mean percent canopy openness at the beginning and end of the trial. Letters denote

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Understory cover: Weeding *C. hirta-*dominated understory at Lower Opaeula produces reduced *C. hirta* cover paired with an increase in native cover after 18 months if initial weeding is followed by additional weeding 6 or 12 months later. However, substantial increased cover of non-native weeds other than C. hirta occurred, particularly the alien grass *P. conjugatum*. The plot weeded only once had very poor results after 18 months, with no change in native cover, and a resurgence of non-native cover to nearly as high as it was prior to weeding. *Re*weeding (including grass control) should occur within 6 to 12 months, in order to allow native cover to expand, and prevent weed cover from returning to near prior levels.

significant differences among

plots at the end of the trial.

Species richness: Increased weed species richness resulted from a 12 to 18 month delay in re-weeding. As native species richness did not change substantially, the increase in native cover that occurred in the plots weeded twice was largely an expansion of species already present. Because C. hirta-dominated areas are partially replaced by other weed taxa, care should be taken to ensure that more problematic weeds do not become established.

Maturation time: Though the minimum time for *C. hirta* maturation from the small immature stage was 12 to 18 months in the seedling plot, the presence of mature plants in a plot weeded only 6 month prior to the end of the trial suggests that the minimum time to maturation is < 6 months, and may be influenced by light availability. If there is an impetus to deplete the C. hirta seed bank, weeding should occur more frequently than 6 months, particularly in areas with greater light availability. Additionally, weeding must be ongoing, as C. hirta forms a long lived seed bank (Brooks and Setter, 2012). However, such a high frequency of weeding will limit the total area that is feasible to weed. Additionally, there will likely be a continual influx of C. hirta seeds from the surrounding areas. Depletion of the C. hirta seed bank is likely an impractical endeavor.

Canopy openness: Differences in understory change among plots may have been influenced by differences in light availability, as canopy openness differed among plots. *Clearcutting non-native canopy in this area is not* advised, unless there are resources to follow up and prevent C. hirta from becoming established.

REFERENCES

Brooks, S. J., and S. D. Setter. 2012. Soil seed bank longevity information for weed eradication target species. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res., 18: 73-83.

Frazer, G. W., C. D. Canham, and K. P. Lertzman. 1999. Gap Light Analyzer (GLA), Version 2.0: Imaging software to extract canopy structure and gap light transmission indices from true-colour fisheye photographs, users manual and program documentation. Copyright © 1999: Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, and the Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook, New York.

Figure 4. Mean non-native and native species richness at the beginning and end of the trial. *Significant, **marginally significant, change within plots. Letters denote significant difference between plots at the end of the trial.

Efficacy of Undiluted Herbicide Injections on Tropical Woody Tree Species in Hawaii

Abstract: Hawaii hosts a wide array of non-native, woody trees, that are considered to be invasive pests Results: Aminopyralid and Imazapyr had superior performance compared to Glyphosate and Triclopyr (Fig. which threaten the integrity of delicate native ecosystems and adversely impact watershed health. The 3). For all species where an herbicide was identified as effective, one (or in some cases both) of these two active ingredients was either the most, or second most effective. It was not uncommon to observe Oahu Army Natural Resource Program (OANRP) is tasked with conducting habitat restoration to support endangered species protection, and to this end conducts hundreds of hours of weed removal annually. apparently ineffective treatments after 100 days, but that ranked as effective at 200-300 days after treatment. No herbicide was effective enough to recommend for: Acacia confusa, Citharexylum caudatum OANRP's default control method uses a 20% dilution of a triclopyr product in biodiesel, applied with or without cuts to the basal area of woody tree weeds. Anecdotally, this technique is mostly successful at or Syzygium cumini. In the case of Syzygium cumini, results from two separate trials were inconsistent, and death was only observed in the smallest of trees. There was no effect for *Corymbia citriodora* at the killing target species, but applications are un-calibrated; high doses may mask mediocre results. To dose given during the trial. Effective cut spacing for most species was between 15 and 25 cm. identify more efficient and effective control techniques for invasive trees, trials were installed on Oahu in 2010 to examine the efficacy of low doses of four active ingredients (imazapyr, Figure 3: Active Ingredient (A.I.) efficacy summary. Triclopyr= TCP (red), Glyphosate=GLY (beige), aminopyralid, glyphosate, and triclopyr). The treatment technique, Incision Point Application (IPA), Aminopyralid= AMP (brown), Imazapyr=IMZ (green). Results are for days after treatment given in column 2. involves making discrete, regularly spaced cuts around the trunk of a tree, and applying a measured Best performing herbicide for each species is bolded, and second best is in italics. Recommended cut amount of undiluted herbicide to each cut. Treated trees were monitored for up to two years. spacing was made by dividing the circumference of the largest tree(s) effectively controlled by the number Performance was measured by recording defoliation and cambium health over time. Surprisingly, of cuts administered in that species trial. triclopyr was the least effective product tested. Imazapyr exhibited the greatest success, providing the most effective control across the greatest number of species. Using the results of these trials, OANRP has begun controlling canopy weeds across large acreages.

Background: The Incision Point Application (IPA) method is a calibrated, clean, and efficient field technique for administering lethal herbicide doses directly to the exposed vascular systems of invasive woody species. The IPA technique is a refinement of the more traditional "frill cut" or "hack-n-squirt" basal application methods by minimizing the cutting action to small incisions around the base of the tree at equidistant points, less than a complete girdle. It also precisely delivers known amounts of herbicide to each incision. This technique utilizes a small, sharp implement (e.g. a hatchet) for making the incision and either a veterinary draw-off syringe or calibrated dropper (Fig.1) for metering the herbicide. Knowing the most effective herbicides for each target species optimizes the IPA technique with the smallest lethal dose, allowing applicators to carry less weight into the field and leave the smallest chemical footprint in the environment.

Methods:

Treatment:

- Label 16 or 20 trees of relatively uniform circumference and measure and record each trunk circumference at 50cm from soil surface.
- Sort tree numbers by circumference size from smallest to largest and group into blocks of 4 starting with the smallest. Randomly assign one of the four herbicide treatments to each of the trees per block. Label trees with assigned herbicide.
- Use 'matrix of tree circumference with matching incision treatments' (Leary, 2010) to determine cuts per tree for each trial (based on size range of trial trees).
- Make cuts at equidistant points around the base of the trunk, approximately 20-50cm above the soil surface. All trees in a trial receive the same number of cuts. Administer 0.5 ml of herbicide concentrate to each cut.

Monitoring:

- Record canopy defoliation ratings every 90-100 days for up to 3 years.
- Visually subdivide leaf canopy into four equal quadrants. These designations can be arbitrary and different for each tree.
- Visually rank each quadrant 1-4 for level of defoliation for a total of four rank values for each tree unit (Fig. 2). 100% defoliation and ultimately complete cambium death (checking for dead tissue), were used as measures of efficacy.

Figure 2: Canopy defoliation rating system:

- 1-100% defoliation (no intact leaves, unless fully necrotic and desiccated)
- 2->50% defoliation (even if a single leaf is present in the canopy, up to 99% defoliation) 3- <50% defoliation (mostly intact canopy with observable defoliation and/or necrosis)

References:

(Leary, 2013: A Practitioner's Guide for Testing Herbicide Efficacy with the IPA Technique on Invasive Woody Plant Species), http://www.ctahr.hawaii.edu/oc/freepubs/pdf/WC-11.pdf:

Julia Gustine Lee and Jane Beachy: Oahu Army Natural Resources Program • James Leary: University of Hawaii at Manoa

complete defoliation 1,1,1,1

Spacies Days after Treatment 100% Blead 4100% Blead 4100% Blead 4100% Blead 4100% Blead 410% Blead 410% Blead 410% Blead Cut spacing (0.5m//cut) Acciar confus (m=10) Fabaceae 916 0/0 0/0 2/0 0/0 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2 3/2 1/2			TCP GLY		AMP	IMZ	Recommended Treatment	
Spacies Department Other action control Vertication		Dave after	# 100%	# 100%	# 100%	# 100%		Cut spacing
Accel coordination Particle Particle <th>Species</th> <th>treatment</th> <th># dead</th> <th># dead</th> <th># dead</th> <th># dead</th> <th>Herbicide</th> <th>(0.5ml/cut)</th>	Species	treatment	# dead	# dead	# dead	# dead	Herbicide	(0.5ml/cut)
Fabaccale 740 7	Acacia confusa (n=16)*	916	0/0	0/0	2/0	0/0		
fraknis whele (in=20) 305 0/0 0/0 2/1 3/2 IMZ ISame 3 Araucaria calumanois (n=20) 640 0/0 1/0 5/1 2/2 AMP Isame 3 Araucaria calumanois (n=20) 481 0/0 0/0 1/0 5/5 IMZ Isame 3 Arabis withei (n=20) 481 0/0 2/unk 2/unk 0/0 GV Isame 3 Callitrix columedaris (n=20) 430 2/2 0/0 2/1 0/0 AMP Isame 3 Calumaning glauca (n=20) 430 2/2 0/0 2/1 0/0 AMP Isame 3 Calumaning glauca (n=20) 640 1/0 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ Isame 3 Corria allodara (n=20) 669 2/0 0/0 1/0 0/0 IMZ Isame 3 Mystaceae 343 0/0 0/0 0/0 AMP 20cm Corprish actividara (n=20) 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 5/4 I	Fabaceae	910	0/0	0/0	2/0	0/0		
Unexcesse Image of the second control is (n=20) 640 0/0 1/0 5/1 2/2 MVP 15cm Araucoria columnatis (n=20) 481 0/0 0/0 1/0 5/1 2/2 MVP 15cm Myrsinacese 481 0/0 0/0 1/0 5/1 MVZ 15cm Cupressaceae 481 0/0 0/0 2/unk 2/unk 0/0 6/V 15cm Casuarinaceae 430 0/0 2/unk 2/unk 0/0 MVP 15cm Casuarinaceae 430 0/0 0/0 1/0 5/4 MVZ 15cm Castronic gloura (n=20) 640 1/0 0/0 1/0 5/4 MVZ 15cm Carymbia chilodora (n=20) 640 1/0 0/0	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i> (n=20)	305	0/0	0/0	2/1	3/2	IMZ	15cm-20cm
Arboxecase 640 0/0 1/0 5/1 2/2 Arbox 15cm Ardisa elliptica (n=20) 481 0/0 0/0 1/0 5/5 IMZ 15cm Callitris columellaris (n=20) 480 0/0 2/unk 2/unk 0/0 GU 15cm Casuarinaceae 430 2/2 0/0 2/2 0/0 Able 15cm Casuarinaceae 430 2/2 0/0 2/2 0/0 Able 15cm Casuarinaceae 430 2/2 0/0 1/0 5/4 MDZ 25cm Consuming lauce (n=20) 640 1/0 0/0 1/0 2/0 IMZ 15cm Condra allidoro (n=20) 640 1/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 IMZ 20cm Cuprostaceae 580 0/0 5/5 5/5 0/0 GY 20cm Cuprostaceae 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ 20cm <t< td=""><td>Oleaceae</td><td></td><td>,</td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td>AMP</td><td>15cm</td></t<>	Oleaceae		,	,			AMP	15cm
Participation 1.00	Araucaria columnaris (n=20)	640	0/0	1/0	5/1	2/2		15cm
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Ardisia elliptica (n=20)							
	Myrsinaceae	481	0/0	0/0	1/0	5/5	IMZ	15-20cm
Cupressocial Cupressocial<	<i>Callitris columellaris</i> (n=20)	430	0/0	2/unk	2/unk	0/0	GLY	15cm
	Cupressaceae							15cm
Citikaresylina Caudatum (n=20)* 333 0/0 0/0 0/0 1/0 Common section (n=20)* Coffee arabise (n=20) 640 1/0 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ 25cm Cordina dilocatra (n=20) 669 2/0 0/0 1/0 2/0 IMZ 15-20cm Corrina dilocatra (n=20) 669 2/0 0/0 1/0 2/0 IMZ 15-20cm Corrina dilocatra (n=20) 669 2/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 IMZ 15-20cm Corporting ingonica (n=20) 580 0/0 5/5 5/5 0/0 AMP 20cm Elaeccarpaces 454 0/0 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ 20cm Fractions ubdet (n=20) 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ 20cm Corecase 785 4/4 n/a 4/3 IMZ 20cm Iblaccase 785 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 20cm <tr< td=""><td>Casuarina giuuca (n=20)</td><td>430</td><td>2/2</td><td>0/0</td><td>2/2</td><td>0/0</td><td></td><td>10cm</td></tr<>	Casuarina giuuca (n=20)	430	2/2	0/0	2/2	0/0		10cm
Verbenaceae 0.01 333 0/0 0/0 0/0 1/0 C Coffee arabico (n=20) 640 1/0 0/0 1/0 5/4 INZ 25cm Cordia alliadara (n=20) 669 2/0 0/0 1/0 2/0 INZ 15-20cm Corpital alliadara (n=20) ** 343 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 INZ 15-20cm Corpital alliadara (n=20) ** 343 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 INZ 20cm Corpital alliadara (n=20) 580 0/0 5/5 5/5 0/0 AMP 20cm Elaeccarpus grandis (n=20) 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 5/4 INZ 20cm Grewillea robusta (n=16) 785 4/4 n/a 4/4 4/3 INZ 20cm Helicoarpus popyenensis (n=20) 305 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 INZ 20cm Myrtaceae 513 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/5 INZ <td><i>Citharexvlum caudatum</i> (n=20)*</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>100111</td>	<i>Citharexvlum caudatum</i> (n=20)*							100111
	Verbenaceae	333	0/0	0/0	0/0	1/0		
Rubiaceae CHO L/O D/O D/O <thd o<="" th=""> D/O <thd o<="" th=""> <thd o<="" td=""><td><i>Coffea arabica</i> (n=20)</td><td>640</td><td>1/0</td><td>0/0</td><td>1/0</td><td>5/1</td><td>11/17</td><td>25cm</td></thd></thd></thd>	<i>Coffea arabica</i> (n=20)	640	1/0	0/0	1/0	5/1	11/17	25cm
Cordia alindora (n=20) 669 2/0 0/0 1/0 2/0 IMZ 15-20cm Carymbia citriadora (n=20) ** 343 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 Guy Cargination (n=20) 580 0/0 5/5 5/5 0/0 GU Zargination (n=20) 640 2/1 0/0 0/0 3/2 IMZ 15-20cm Elaeocarpaceae 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ 15-20cm Grevillea robusta (n=16) 785 4/4 n/a 4/4 4/3 IMZ 20cm Italiaceae 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 MAP 20cm Leytospermum scoparium (n=20) 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 1/0 2/2 5/4 MAP 20cm 1/2 2/2 2/2 <	Rubiaceae	040	1/0	0/0	1/0	5/4		25011
Boraginaceae Corynbia citriodora (n=20) ** Mytraceae 343 0/0 0/0 0/0 0/0 AMP 20cm Cryptameria japonica (n=20) 580 0/0 5/5 5/5 0/0 GLV 20cm Cryptameria japonica (n=20) 580 0/0 5/5 5/5 0/0 GLV 20cm Elaeocarpasseae 454 0/0 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ 20cm Fraxinus uhdel (n=20) 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 5/4 IMZ 25cm Froziaceae 785 4/4 n/a 4/4 4/3 TCP 25cm Proteaceae 305 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 IMZ 20cm Letospermum scoparium (n=20) 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 GLV 20cm Myttaceae 580 1/0 2/2 5/4 M/P 20cm Melaleuca quinquenervia (n=20) 453 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/4 M/P 15:20c	<i>Cordia alliodora</i> (n=20)	669	2/0	0/0	1/0	2/0	IMZ	15-20cm
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Boraginaceae							
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Myrtaceae	343	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0		
Cupressace S80 0/0 S/S S/S 0/0 GUV 20cm Elaeocarpaceae 454 0/0 0/0 0/0 3/2 IMZ 20cm Fraxinus uhdel (n=20) 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 S/4 IMZ 15-20cm Grevillea robusta (n=16) 785 4/4 n/a 4/4 4/3 TCP 25cm Thiaceae 305 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 MVZ 20cm Helicocrpus popayenensis (n=20) 305 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 MVZ 20cm Wytraceae 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 20cm Melaleuca quinguenervia (n=20) 453 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 513 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/5 IMZ 20cm Pimenta dioica (n=20) 453 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/4 IMP 15-20cm	Cryptomeria japonica (n=20)						AMP	20cm
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Cupressaceae	580	0/0	5/5	5/5	0/0	GLY	20cm
Elaeocarpaceae 4.94 0/0 0/0 0/0 5/2 INZ 2011 Fraxinus uhdei (n=20) 640 2/1 0/0 1/0 5/4 INZ 15-20cm Grewillea robusta (n=16) 785 4/4 n/a 4/4 4/3 TCP 25cm Proteaceae 785 4/4 n/a 4/4 4/3 TCP 25cm Heliocarpus popayenensis (n=20) 305 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 IMZ 20cm Leptospermum scoparium (n=20) 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 1s2 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 453 0/0 1/1 4/4 0/0 AMP 20cm Melaleuca quinquenervia (n=20) 453 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 641 0/0 0/0 3/3 5/4 IMZ 15-20cm Myrtaceae 641 0/0 0/0 3/3 5/4 IMZ	Elaeocarpus grandis (n=20)		0/0	0/0	0/0	2/2	10.47	20.000
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Elaeocarpaceae	454	0/0	0/0	0/0	5/2		2001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i> (n=20)	640	2/1	0/0	1/0	5/4	IMZ	15-20cm
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Oleaceae		_, _	- / -		- , -		25
Proteaceae 733 4/4 1/a 4/4 4/3 1/d 20m Heliocarpus popayenensis (n=20) 305 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 IMZ 20cm Myrtaceae 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 617 20cm 617 20cm 617 20cm Melaleuca quinquenervia (n=20) 453 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/5 IMZ 25cm Myrtaceae 580 1/0 2/2 5/4 5/4 AMP 10cm Myrtaceae 641 0/0 0/0 3/3 5/4 IMZ 15-20cm Pimenta dioica (n=20) 641 0/0 0/0 3/3 5/4 AMP 15-20cm Myrtaceae 563 1/1 2/0 1/0 4/2 IMZ 25cm Scheffler actinophylla (n=16) 435 0/0 4/4 4/4 4/4 IMP 10-15cm	<i>Grevillea robusta</i> (n=16)	705	л / л	n/a		л/2		25cm
Heliocarpus popayenensis (n=20) 305 1/0 1/0 2/2 4/4 IMZ 20cm Illiaceae 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 20cm Myrtaceae 453 0/0 5/4 1/1 5/5 IMZ 20cm Leucaena leucocephala (n=16) 513 0/0 0/0 4/4 0/0 AMP 20cm Melaleuca quinquenervia (n=20) 453 0/0 1/1 4/4 5/5 AMP 20cm Myrtaceae 580 1/0 2/2 5/4 5/4 IMZ 15-20cm Myrtaceae 580 1/0 2/2 5/4 5/4 IMZ 15-20cm Myrtaceae 641 0/0 0/0 3/3 5/4 IMZ 15-20cm Myrtaceae 641 0/0 0/0 3/3 5/4 IMZ 15-20cm Psidium guajava (n=20) 563 1/1 2/0 1/0 4/2 IMZ 10-15cm	Proteaceae	/ 65	4/4	n/a	4/4	4/5		20cm
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Heliocarpus popavenensis (n=20)						IMZ	20cm
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Tiliaceae	305	1/0	1/0	2/2	4/4	AMP	20cm
Myrtaceae 453 0/0 $5/4$ 1/1 $5/5$ GLY $20cm$ Leucaena leucocephala (n=16) 513 0/0 0/0 4/4 0/0 AMP $20cm$ Melaleuca quinquenervia (n=20) 453 0/0 1/1 $4/4$ $5/5$ IMZ $25cm$ Morella faya (n=20) 580 $1/0$ $2/2$ $5/4$ $5/4$ IMZ 15-20cm Myrtaceae 641 $0/0$ $0/0$ $3/3$ $5/4$ IMZ 15-20cm Psidium guajava (n=20) 641 $0/0$ $0/0$ $3/3$ $5/4$ IMZ 15-20cm Psidium guajava (n=20) 563 $1/1$ $2/0$ $1/0$ $4/2$ IMZ 25cm Schefflera actinophylla (n=16) 435 $0/0$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/3$ $10.15cm$ Schinus terebinthifolius (n=16) 559 $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/3$ $4/3$ $4/3$ $10/0$ <td><i>Leptospermum scoparium</i> (n=20)</td> <td>452</td> <td>0/0</td> <td>Г / Л</td> <td>1 /1</td> <td>г /г</td> <td>IMZ</td> <td>25cm</td>	<i>Leptospermum scoparium</i> (n=20)	452	0/0	Г / Л	1 /1	г /г	IMZ	25cm
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Myrtaceae	453	0/0	5/4		5/5	GLY	20cm
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<i>Leucaena leucocephala</i> (n=16)	513	0/0	0/0	4/4	0/0	AMP	20cm
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Melaleuca quinquenervia (n=20)						IM7	25cm
Morella faya (n=20) Myricaceae 580 $1/0$ $2/2$ $5/4$ $5/4$ IMZ 15-20cm Pimenta dioica (n=20) Myrtaceae 641 $0/0$ $0/0$ $3/3$ $5/4$ IMZ 15-20cm Pimenta dioica (n=20) Myrtaceae 641 $0/0$ $0/0$ $3/3$ $5/4$ IMZ 15-20cm Psidium guajava (n=20) Myrtaceae 563 $1/1$ $2/0$ $1/0$ $4/2$ IMZ 25cm Schefflera actinophylla (n=16) Araliaceae 435 $0/0$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $A/4$ $A/4$ IMZ 10-15cm Schinus terebinthifolius (n=16) Anacardiaceae 559 $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/3$ $4/3$ IMZ 5-10cm Spathodea campanulata (n=16) Bignoniaceae 531 $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$ $4/3$ IMZ 20cm Syzygium cumini (n=20) ** 481 $1/1$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ IMZ 20cm Myrtaceae 916 $4/3$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ IMZ 15cm	Myrtaceae	453	0/0	1/1	4/4	5/5	AMP	10cm
Myricaceae580 $1/0$ $2/2$ $5/4$ $5/4$ AMP $15-20cm$ Pimenta dioica (n=20) Myrtaceae 641 $0/0$ $0/0$ $3/3$ $5/4$ IMZ $15-20cm$ Psidium guajava (n=20) Myrtaceae 563 $1/1$ $2/0$ $1/0$ $4/2$ IMZ $25cm$ Schefflera actinophylla (n=16) Araliaceae 435 $0/0$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ IMZ $10-15cm$ Schinus terebinthifolius (n=16) Anacardiaceae 559 $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/3$ $4/3$ $4/3$ IMZ $5-10cm$ Spathodea campanulata (n=16) Bignoniaceae 531 $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$ $4/3$ IMZ $20cm$ Syzygium cumini (n=20) ** 481 $1/1$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $4/4$ IMZ $20cm$ Syzygium cumini (n=16) ** Myrtaceae 916 $4/3$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $4/4$ IMZ $15cm$ Trema orientalis (n=20) Ulmaceae 461 $1/1$ $2/2$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ IMZ $15-20cm$ Total species adequately controlled with active Ulmaceae 3 4 15 20 $20cm$, Morella faya (n=20)	500	1 /0	2/2		Ε / Δ	IMZ	15-20cm
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Myricaceae	580	1/0	2/2	5/4	5/4	AMP	15-20cm
MyrtaceaeOriginalOriginalOriginalOriginalOriginalAMP15-20cmPsidium guajava (n=20) Myrtaceae5631/12/01/04/2IMZ25cmSchefflera actinophylla (n=16) Araliaceae4350/04/44/44/4GIV10-15cmSchinus terebinthifolius (n=16) Anacardiaceae5591/01/04/34/3IMZ5-10cmSchinus terebinthifolius (n=16) 	<i>Pimenta dioica</i> (n=20)	641	0/0	0/0	3/3	5/4	IMZ	15-20cm
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Myrtaceae						AMP	15-20cm
$\frac{\text{myrtaccac}}{\text{Schefflera actinophylla (n=16)}}{\frac{\text{Araliaceae}}{\text{Araliaceae}}} + \frac{435}{0/0} + \frac{0/0}{4/4} + \frac{4/4}{4/4} + \frac{4/4}{4/4} + \frac{4/4}{4/4} + \frac{4/4}{\frac{4/4}} + \frac{4/4}{\frac{10-15cm}{\text{AMP}}} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{\text{AMP}}} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{\text{AMP}}} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}} + \frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-15cm}}{\frac{10-15cm}{10-1$	Psidium guajava (n=20) Myrtaceae	563	1/1	2/0	1/0	4/2	IMZ	25cm
Schefflera actinophylla (n=16) Araliaceae435 $0/0$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $4/4$ IMZ $10-15cm$ AMP IMD Schinus terebinthifolius (n=16) Anacardiaceae 559 $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/3$ $4/3$ $4/3$ IMZ $5-10cm$ Spathodea campanulata (n=16) 							GLY	10-15cm
AraliaceaeImage: Constraint of the second systemAMP10-15cmSchinus terebinthifolius (n=16) Anacardiaceae 559 $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/3$ $4/3$ $4/3$ MZ $5-10cm$ Spathodea campanulata (n=16) Bignoniaceae 531 $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$ $4/3$ IMZ $20cm$ Syzygium cumini (n=20) ** 481 $1/1$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Syzygium cumini (n=16) ** Myrtaceae 443 $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$ $1/1$ $1/1$ Toona ciliata (n=16) Meliaceae 916 $4/3$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $4/4$ $1MZ$ $15cm$ Trema orientalis (n=20) Ulmaceae 461 $1/1$ $2/2$ $4/4$ $4/4$ $1MZ$ $15-20cm$ Total species adequately controlled with active increadiant 3 4 15 20 401 $15-20cm$	Schefflera actinophylla (n=16)	435	0/0	4/4	4/4	4/4	IMZ	10-15cm
Schinus terebinthifolius (n=16) Anacardiaceae 559 $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/3$ $4/3$ $1/3$ IMZ $5-10 cm$ Spathodea campanulata (n=16) Bignoniaceae 531 $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$ $4/3$ IMZ $20 cm$ Syzygium cumini (n=20) ** 481 $1/1$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Syzygium cumini (n=16) ** Myrtaceae 443 $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$ $1/1$ $1/1$ $1/1$ Toona ciliata (n=16) Meliaceae 916 $4/3$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/4$ $1/2$ $15 cm$ Trema orientalis (n=20) Ulmaceae 461 $1/1$ $2/2$ $4/4$ $4/4$ 15 20	Araliaceae		,			,	AMP	10-15cm
Anacardiaceae 333 $1/0$ $1/0$ $4/3$ $4/3$ AMP $5-10cm$ Spathodea campanulata (n=16) Bignoniaceae 531 $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$ $4/3$ IMZ $20cm$ Syzygium cumini (n=20) ** 481 $1/1$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ $1/0$ Syzygium cumini (n=16) ** Myrtaceae 443 $0/0$ $0/0$ $0/0$ $1/1$	Schinus terebinthifolius (n=16)	550	1/0	1/0	1/2	л/2	IMZ	5-10cm
Spathodea campanulata (n=16) Bignoniaceae5310/00/01/14/3IMZ20cmSyzygium cumini (n=20) **4811/10/00/01/0 </td <td>Anacardiaceae</td> <td>555</td> <td>1/0</td> <td>1/0</td> <td>4/5</td> <td>4/5</td> <td>AMP</td> <td>5-10cm</td>	Anacardiaceae	555	1/0	1/0	4/5	4/5	AMP	5-10cm
BignoniaceaeIII <t< td=""><td><i>Spathodea campanulata</i> (n=16)</td><td>531</td><td>0/0</td><td>0/0</td><td>1/1</td><td>4/3</td><td>IMZ</td><td>20cm</td></t<>	<i>Spathodea campanulata</i> (n=16)	531	0/0	0/0	1/1	4/3	IMZ	20cm
Syzygium cumini (n=20)4811/10/00/01/01/0Syzygium cumini (n=16)4430/00/00/01/11/1Myrtaceae9164/30/01/04/4IMZ15cmToona ciliata (n=16)9164/30/01/04/4IMZ15cmMeliaceae9164/30/01/04/4IMZ15cmTrema orientalis (n=20)4611/12/24/44/4IMZ15-20cmUlmaceae1034152015-20cm	Bignoniaceae	101	1/1	0/0		1/0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Syzygium cumini (n=20) **	481		0/0	0/0	1/0		
Implement	Nyrtaceae	443	0/0	0/0	0/0	1/1		
Meliaceae9164/30/01/04/4TCP15cmTrema orientalis (n=20) Ulmaceae4611/12/24/44/4IMZ15-20cmTotal species adequately controlled with active ingradient3415204	Toona ciliata (n=16)			a /-			IMZ	15cm
Trema orientalis (n=20) Ulmaceae461 $1/1$ $2/2$ $4/4$ $4/4$ IMZ15-20cmTotal species adequately controlled with active341520IMZ15-20cm	Meliaceae	916	4/3	0/0	1/0	4/4	ТСР	15cm
Ulmaceae4011/12/24/44/4AMP15-20cmTotal species adequately controlled with active3415201	Trema orientalis (n=20)	161	1 /1	っ/つ		л / л	IMZ	15-20cm
Total species adequately controlled with active341520	Ulmaceae	401	1/ 1	<i>∠ ∠</i>	+/4	4/4	AMP	15-20cm
	Total species adequately controlled	d with active	3	4	15	20		

*re-trial with best herbicide to determine dosing **re-trial all herbicides with higher dosing

Ideal IPA hatchet cut

12 cuts in *S. cumini* trial, however only 1 of 20 trees died after 481 days

Surrounding native understory and canopy of treated tree remain in good health

Callusing and aerial roots in response to triclopyr treatment

IPA operational control: OANRP now uses the IPA technique operationally for control of *Toona ciliata* and *Grevillea robusta* across large portions of managed areas. As an example, Figure 4 illustrates four individual days of control efforts for these two targets within a fenced management unit. Area controlled is highly influenced by target density. Quantities of herbicide used per target are remarkably low.

Figure 4: IPA Operational treatment example, Kaluaa, Oahu

Above photos: Operational gear continues to evolve as tools are field tested.

Conclusions:

- doses to invasive woody species.
- control of target species throughout the Hawaiian islands.
- herbicide supply for control with the IPA method.

Acknowledgements: Thank you to the following land managers and owners for their support with these trials: Amanda Hardman and the State of Hawaii NARS division, Laurant Pool and Waimea Valley and Paul Zweng in Waikane Valley.

EPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES d Environmental Managemen'

egend						
٢	Day 1	Toona ciliata				
•	Day 2	Toona ciliata				
٠	Day 3	Grevillea robusta				

Day 4 Grevillea robusta

0.9 hectares

- 1 hectare
- 2.4 hectares
- 4.5 hectares
- Fenceline

		Target	Total targets	Total mL herbicide	Avg. mL/target	Person hours
, de	Day 1	T. ciliata	904	770	.85	15
41	Day 2	T. ciliata	782	1040	1.7	15
	Day 3	G. robusta	450	1460	3.2	20
	Day 4	G. robusta	373	825	2.2	21

Above photo: Defoliated G. robusta (right) next to a healthy Acacia koa (left). Aminopyralid is known for efficacy on Fabaceae, and therefore nearly all area treated on day 4 (Fig. 4) was monitored for non-target effects to A. koa, a native hardwood Fabaceae. No non-target effects were observed to A. koa, however a single *Alyxia stellata* wraped around a treated G. robusta died

Above photo: Slope with defoliated G. robusta. The speed of control with IPA allows for greater landscape weed management.

IPA offers a measured, clean, cost effective, and efficient field technique for administering lethal

Conducting IPA herbicide species trials, although a somewhat long-term (ideally 2 years) commitment, are an important step in determining effective herbicide and dose rate for effective

Imazpyr and Aminopyralid are two herbicides that field managers should consider stocking in their

Restoring Psidium cattleianum dominated forest in the Wai'anae Mountains, Hawai'i

Abstract: Diverse mesic forests in the northern Wai'anae Mountains of O'ahu support a vibrant mix of endangered species. Unfortunately, much of this forest is heavily invaded by *Psidium cattleianum*, an exotic tropical tree hailing from South America. The invasive characteristics of *P. cattleianum* are well documented, as is the threat it poses to native taxa. The Oahu Army Natural Resource Program (OANRP) conducted an informal trial investigating strategies for removal of *P. cattleianum* monocultures (100m²) which suggested clearcutting and chipping slash efficiently controlled the invasive tree while allowing re-colonization by native plants. Based on this, in 2010 and 2012 OANRP removed 0.9 ha of dense *P. cattleianum* from Kahanāhaiki Gulch with the goal of reducing alien vegetation cover, increasing native vegetation cover and diversity, and connecting surrounding native forest patches. This project included flying a chipper into the site to grind up large slash piles. Clearing work was done by full-time staff in 2010, and by a combination of full-time and temporary staff in 2012. As feasible, initial clearing was timed to coincide with the senescence of the *P. cattleianum* seed bank, 3-6 months post fruiting, to minimize seedling germination. Volunteers conducted much of the follow-up weed control. Encouragingly, the native tree Acacia koa recruited heavily into the site. One opportunistic restoration outplanting was conducted of *Canavalia galeata*. Extensive hand-broadcast of a fast growing native herb, *Bidens torta*, was performed. Photopoints were used to document the dramatic changes at the site. Plots comparing the areas cleared in 2010 and 2012 indicate that while both native vegetation cover and species richness dropped one month after clearing, after five years, both recovered and greatly exceeded pre-clearing levels, while *P. cattleianum* cover remained low. While this aggressive strategy had high initial costs, with a moderate level of follow-up, native forest reclaimed the area.

Project Description:

The Kahanāhaiki Management Unit (MU), located in the northern Wai'anae Mountains, is home to a variety of endangered plants, one endangered tree snail, and some high-value stands of mesic forest. OANRP manages Kahanāhaiki, with the goal of protecting rare taxa and improving habitat. The MU is fenced free of ungulates and rats are suppressed throughout via a rodent trap grid. Like much of O'ahu's mesic forest, Kahanāhaiki is infested with non-native plants. While initial efforts focused weeding around native forest patches, vegetation monitoring conducted in 2009 indicated that non-native taxa comprised more than 50% cover across the MU. More aggressive efforts were needed to push non-native cover below the 50% threshold and meet restoration goals. To that end, staff built on informal trials conducted in 2002 which indicated that clearing stands of *Psidium cattleianum* could trigger vigorous growth of the native hardwood tree Acacia koa.

P. cattleianum with green, immature fruit. Controlling trees before fruit natures red is ideal

In 2010, staff identified a large stand of *P. cattleianum* in the southern, mostly flat end of the MU. Patches of native forest bordered the site and some mature A. koa persisted within the P. cattleianum stand. At the time, no rare taxa were known from within site. Staff began clear-cutting the *P*. *cattleianum* with chainsaws. To minimize the volume of slash created, a wood-chipper was flown into the work site. The chipper, Bandit model 65 XP, weighs 2,850 lbs, and was flown into place by a contracted Huey helicopter (\$3,000/hr). In the 2002 trial, a small, lightweight chipper was used; while it was easy to transport, the small chipper required staff to spend large amounts of time cutting slash small enough to fit the hopper, and was simply not efficient in the field.

During clearing work, staff discovered the endangered tree snail, Achatinella *mustelina*, on a tree in the site. Work halted due to the threat of accidentally chipping snails or creating an inhospitably hot environment. Staff developed a protocol to follow in future to avoid potential A. mustelina impacts including conducting both night and daytime snail surveys.

Project Phase	Duration	Effort (person hours)	Area Cleared (ha)
2010 Clearing	2 months	456	0.36
2012 Clearing	5 months	519	0.54
All Clearing (sum)	7 months	975	0.90
Re-treatment and follow up weed control	5 years	1,027	-

Jane Beachy, Julia Gustine Lee and Michelle Akamine

ipsed pping	Non-native understory	Native understory
ol	75-100%	0-25%
nth	0-25%	0-25%
rs	0-25%	25-50%
rs	25-50%	25-50%
rs	0-25%	25-50%

Flagged and tagged PVC poles were installed throughout the proposed work site. Photos were taken from the poles in the four cardinal directions. A compass and print-outs of the September 2010 set of photopoints aided staff in lining up each photo. Unfortunately camera type, focal length, and precise angle varied over the years due to changes in observers. The photopoint series below showcase the dramatic changes seen at the project site.

2010 June Prior to clearing. All clearing completed here in

On the right side of the photo. the fore-ground, a fast growing A. koa, has already reached 1 background, note the stand of

33 months post-clearing While many of the hundreds of The *P. odorata* is notably fuller, A. koa recruits did not survive, perhaps due to increased light, the trees visible here thrived, decreased competition, or reduced alleleopathic effects. Much of the clearing form a canopy. Note background is completely filled with A. koa saplings. Tall B. torta shrubs dominate the mid-ground. branches on the left side of the

clearing, but as is evident in this photo, the vine rebounded

Non-native Taxa	Frequency Change	P value
Clidemia hirta	5 to 40%	0.009
Conya bonariensis	0 to 35%	0.004
Crassocephalum crepidioides	0 to 45%	< 0.001
Mesosphaerum pectinatum	0 to 40%	0.001
Rubus rosifolius	0 to 65%	< 0.001
Psidium	90 to 25%	< 0.001

June and July.

The entire project area was covered in a dense stand of P. cattleianum, typical of this photo A variety of size classes are present, although most trees ranged from 5-15 cm in diamet

2010 Sept.

2 months post-clearing

	ŀ
	0
.	0
9	1
	S
	2
	t
	ι

Piles of chips cover most of the cleared area. Staff limited the size of chip piles to reduce the ikelihood of compost fires. Reprouting *P. cattleianum* stumps are visible in the foreground. Note he spindly *Psydrax odorata* tree uncovered during clearing in the center of the photo.

2011 July

12 months post-clearing

Large *B. torta* plants colonize open ground, excluding deep chip oiles. Alyxia stellata vines appear to be recovering in the foreground, after being trampled during initial learing.

2012 July

24 months post-clearing

Native understory plants filled in much of the open area. Note the A. koa saplings in the background. yellow flowers of *B. torta* in the mid-ground, and the tangle of A. stellata in the foreground.

2013 April

2014 Dec.

53 months post-clearing

The understory has completely filled in four years after clearing. While short-lived *B. torta* is still present, other native species now thrive in the site. A canopy of A. koa is visible in the background.

2015 July

60 months post-clearing

Conclusions:

- Restoration of *P. cattleianum* stands through aggressive weed control (clearcutting and chipping) can be highly effective. Native Hawaiian mesic forest can be very resilient. Within 5 years, both understory and canopy coverage reached approximately 50%
- vegetative cover. Seed broadcast of the short-lived perennial shrub *Bidens torta* was successful in creating large beds of this taxon within 2 years. Establishing a native ground cover likely reduced weed invasion.
- Outplanting is not necessary for restoration, although it may speed the process further.
- Follow-up weed control is critical to project success, and must be sustained for at least 5 years after initial clearing.
- The size of the project area should be based on the estimated area staff can commit to conducting follow-up weed control in, rather than the size of the area which can be clearcut in a given season.

Abstract: Surveys can be the first line of defense in detecting invasive plant species. Effort spent searching targeted areas can provide numerous novel species. Effort spent searching targeted areas can provide numerous novel speciment action. The Oahu Army Natural Resources Program (OANRP) uses inventory surveys to identify potential new threats to endangered species Management Units (MUs) and to detect and prevent the spread of weeds on Army Training Range and MU access roads, 50 endangered species Management Units (MUs) and to detect and prevent the spread of weeds on Army Training Range and MU access roads, 50 endangered species Management Units (MUs) and to detect and prevent the spread of weeds on Army Training Range and MU access roads, 50 endange helicopter landing zones, 7 high-use field sites (such as campsites), and 15 highly trafficked trails (Fig. 1). With identification assistance from the Bishop Museum and the Oahu Early Detection program (OED), OANRP has documented 29 new island records for O'ahu, 9 new State of Hawaii records, and 13 new records of naturalizing taxa since 2004. Not all new species result in managed areas. The threats posed by new finds are assessed with the use of the Hawaii Weed Risk are assessed with the use of the Hawaii Assessment (HWRA) program, collection and naturalization data from Bishop Museum, the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural Botany Department, and expert botanical field knowledge.

Background and Methods: Early detection is critical in allowing managers maximum flexibility in addressing incipient or novel invasive weed populations. Once a weed reaches a certain threshold infestation size, they can become too large to effectively control, particularly with limited staff time. OANRP conduct surveys at potential locations of introduction and spread on OANRP managed areas (Fig. 1). The surveys help to address Army requirements to minimize the threat of alien species introductions resulting from range maintenance, construction and training activities within and adjacent to landing zones, trails, and roadsides, as well as to address potential weed spread into areas of native forest managed for rare taxa. Information about the current distribution of a species, its invasiveness, and location are all used to determine an appropriate management response.

On each survey, staff record all non-native taxa observed within the defined survey area (Fig. 2). Survey data are entered into the OANRP Database and the following reports can be generated to assist with taxa assessments: 1) new taxa observed on a survey, 2) a list of surveys for which a particular taxa is present, 3) the date a taxa is first observed on any given survey, and 4) a list of taxa observed on previous survey dates. For species difficult to identify, specimens are sent to Bishop Museum for identification (Fig. 3).

ure 2:	Example su	urvey field for	m		Figure 1: Map of all
					unierent intervals a
015-09-01			Page 1 of 2		completed each yea
SurveySite	Field Form		SurveySiteCode: LZ-KLOA-038		
urveySiteCode:	LZ-KLOA-038 SurveySite	eName: Red			
SurveySiteType	: Landing Zone S	SiteLength:			
SiteDirections	S:				
SiteAccess:	:				
urveySiteComme	ents:				
SurveyDate:		Surveyors Init:			
SurveyCo	omments:				
axa Observed	d				
TaxonCode	TaxonName	TaxonObs? TaxonComments	S		
AndVir	Andropogon virginicus				
ArdEll	Ardisia elliptica				
AruGra	Arundina gramminifolia				Concernance 1
AxoCom	Axonopus compressus				Contraction of the second seco
AxoFis	Axonopus fissifolius				
CecObt	Cecropia obtusifolia				
CenAsi	Centella asiatica				000000000000000000000000000000000000000
ChaNic	Chamaecrista nictitans				
CitCau	Citharexylum caudatum				
CitSpi	Citharexylum spinosum				
CliHir	Clidemia hirta				
CupCar	Cuphea carthagenesis				
CycPar	Cyclosorus parasiticus				a de la companya de la
EraSnn					- A mark
EraTen	Eragrostis sp.				
	Eucalyptus sp.				
EupHir	Euphorbia hirta				JAN C
GreRob	Grevillea robusta				
HypRuf	Hyparrhenia rufa				
LinEns	Lindsaea ensifolia				
MelMin	Melinis minutiflora				
MelQui	Melaleuca quinquenervia		Figure 3. Evam	nles of unk	nown snecies and si
MelSpp	Melicope sp.				and with species and se
NepBro	Nephrolepis brownii		Museum for id	lentification	n. OANRP photograp
PasCon	Paspalum conjugatum				
PasUrv	Paspalum urvillei				and the second s
PolPan	Polygala paniculata			Contraction of the	
PsiCat					All and a second in the second
RhyCad	Rhynchospora caduca				Hat A St Mark a los
RhyRugLav	Rhynchospora rugosa subsp. lavarum			N THE THE	
					6

Survey Types:

Road: Effort varies for each survey depending on length (up to 2 kilometers) and quality of road (paved vs four wheel drive) and can range from half a day to two days to complete. Roads on Army Training Ranges and high-use OANRP access roads are surveyed annually, and the remaining OANRP access roads are surveyed every other year. On Army Training Ranges, road surveys include all drivable roads as well as training sites that appear to have had use. Ranges may be separated into several surveys to facilitate access and tracking. Each year some roads are too overgrown to drive, or new roads are created. Staff take GPS tracks of all areas surveyed to document annual survey effort and to map new roads.

Weed Transect: Most of these surveys are located along corridors of high traffic such as fencelines or staff trails that lead from a trailhead or parking area to an MU.

Camp/Other: These surveys aim to capture any spread of invasive weeds from staff and gear. 'Other' surveys are a catchall for locations of potential contamination and spread such as washrack sediment disposal sites, and sand or gravel stockpiles used to deploy fill across ranges. Surveys of the piles and the surrounding vegetation can give a good idea of which species may be moved to new areas with deployment of materials.

Landing Zone(LZ): Most OARNP LZs are small and located in remote mountainous locations. Army LZs on the other hand are often large fields across which staff conduct surveys. Army LZs are surveyed annually, and OANRP LZs are surveyed quarterly when used within a given quarter.

Targeted Surveys Provide Opportunities to Assess Threats to Managed Areas Julia Gustine Lee and Jane Beachy: Oahu Army Natural Resources Program, Schofield Barracks, Oahu

weed surveys. Surveys are conducted at d therefore not all surveys shown are Legend-Weed Surveys LZ and 'Other' Survey Locations Road and Transect Survey Locations OANRP Managed

bmission form sent to OED staff at Bishop submissions for reference.

Evaluations of new taxa: Each year dozens of new species are found on surveys or observed in new locations during the course of other management actions. These taxa range from being widely naturalized on Oahu to new island or state records. Figure 4 illustrates the process used for determining appropriate OANRP management actions ranging from targeting for eradication to no control. Information about species that are found outside of OANRP managed areas and that may warrant further control or monitoring is shared with relevant landowners and partners so that they may assess management priorities. Basic information about individual taxa considered as part of the decision matrix includes: known distribution of taxa, invasiveness (use HWRA for determination), and location found. Additionally, potential control partners, availability of effective control methods, type of location (terrain, accessibility), resources/funding, etc., are also important inputs in deciding how to manage a new invasive species but are often more difficult to evaluate.

Figure 5 shows the process of assessing management actions with examples of species that were found during surveys or incidentally. The list also highlights that assessments and management responses are challenging as taxa information is sometimes incomplete, resources for control may be unavailable, and management responsibility may be best suited to another agency.

Figure 5: OANRP examples of working species through the decision matrix								
Species	Common name	Distribution	Invasiveness	Location	Evaluation considerations	Management actions		
<i>Albizia adianthifolia</i> (Fabaceae)	Flat Crown	New State Record	Not thoroughly researched by OANRP staff although observed naturalizing on range	On Army Training Range	Only known from Training Range, but recently observed 2km from core. Appears to behave similarly to <i>F. moluccana</i> .	Onsite: Monitor and map naturalizing individuals; if resources and time available for control, should target prioritized plants (4)		
<i>Cenchrus setaceus</i> (Poaceae)	Fountain Grass	Locally naturalized	Highly	Adjacent to MU and degraded training area	Grass in a fire prone area on leeward side of island. Seedbank <1 yr; eradicable	Target for eradication (1)		
<i>Chromolaena odorata</i> (Asteraceae)	Devil Weed	New State Record	High. Well documented as highly invasive worldwide	In high-use areas of Army Training Range	Likely military introduction therefore OANRP commitment to control. Infestation covers large area, so important to have good strategy.	Target for eradication (1)		
<i>Dietes iridioides</i> (Iridaceae)	African Iris	Widely naturalized	Unknown	Inside MU	Small patch near native forest	Local incipient, target for control (2)		
<i>Dovyalis hebycarpa</i> (Flacourtiaceae)	Ceylon gooseberry	Locally naturalized	Highly	On Army Training Range	Need to monitor	Onsite: monitor and map, no control (4)		
Nephrolepis brownii (Dryopteridaceae)	Rough Sword Fern	Widely naturalized	Highly	Inside MU	Invades disturbed/open areas after canopy control and creates thick understory	Control as part of habitat restoration efforts (3)		
<i>Olea Europa</i> (Oleacea)	Wild Olive	Locally naturalizing	Highly	Access road; area not managed by OANRP	On access road to MU, but currently a safe distance from trailhead	Offiste: Monitor and map; share information with partner agencies (5)		
<i>Petrorhagia velutina</i> (Caryophyllaceae)	Tunica	New Island Record	Unknown; not likely to become ecosystem altering	On Army Training Range	Small, only found in degraded locations	Not a control priority (6)		
Senecio madagascariensis (Asteraceae)	Fire Weed	New Island Record	Not a high threat to OANRP managed areas, but is a State noxious agricultural weed	On Army Training Range	Likely introduced by military training; don't want to spread further	Target for eradication (1)		

Conclusions:

- are necessary.

bution	Invasiveness		Location		Evaluation Consideratio
			In MU high value area		
	High	K	In MU	╈	
			Adjacent to MU	╈	
naturalized			Army Training Area	╉	Acreage
	Low				Availability of effective control
					methods
	High	KI	Adjacent to MU		Land ownership
naturalized			Army Training Area	1	Partners availabl
			OANRP		Current resources/fundir
	Low		Adjacent to MU		Type of location
	High		In MU	┦	accessibility)
ate/Island			Army Training Area	+	
ecord			Area not managed by OANRP		
	Low			大	

• Surveys highlight the way that military training and natural resource management practices can result in unintended introductions and movement of weedy species. Strict sanitation protocols

• Time spent looking specifically for invasive weed introduction or spread at regular intervals, increases the chance of identifying an infestation early in establishment. • Even with targeted surveys, invasive taxa may go unnoticed; surveys conducted at regular intervals are therefore important to catch missed species. • Identification experts and Bishop Museum records are critical in helping to make management decisions.

